

# CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	I
ABSTRACT.....	II
CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF FIGURES .....	VII
LIST OF TABLES .....	X
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.1 Background.....	1-1
1.2 Scope.....	1-7
1.3 Literature Review.....	1-11
1.4 Contributions.....	1-13
1.5 Outline.....	1-15
CHAPTER 2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Research Flow.....	2-4
2.3 The Essence of AHP .....	2-5
2.3.1 Hierarchy Structure .....	2-6
2.3.2 Calculation of Weight .....	2-7
2.3.3 The Mean of Weight.....	2-12
2.4 Disparity Factor Selection.....	2-14
2.5 Regional Disparity Measurement .....	2-18
2.6 Questionnaire Design and Weight Acquisition .....	2-19

2.7	Derivation of Regional Target Level.....	2-24
2.8	A Numerical Example.....	2-25
2.9	Summary .....	2-27
CHAPTER 3 THE DESIGN OF EVALUATION MODEL FOR SAIDI TARGETS OF REGIONAL TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS .....		3-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3-1
3.2	Disparity Factors of SAIDI for Transmission Forced Service Interruption.....	3-2
3.3	Design of Evaluation Indices .....	3-8
3.4	Questionnaire Design.....	3-11
CHAPTER 4 THE DESIGN OF EVALUATION MODEL FOR SAIDI TARGETS OF REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS .....		4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.2	Disparity Factors of SAIDI for Distribution Forced Service Interruption.....	4-1
4.3	Disparity Factors of SAIDI for Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption ....	4-5
4.4	Design of Evaluation Indices .....	4-10
4.5	Questionnaire Design.....	4-14
CHAPTER 5 RESULTS ANALYSIS .....		5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.2	Results of Weight of Disparity Factors .....	5-1
5.2.1	Comparison of Questionnaire Results of Transmission Forced Service Interruption among Regional Offices.....	5-2
5.2.2	Geometric Mean of Weight Collected from 90 Transmission Engineers on Transmission Forced Service Interruption .....	5-8

5.2.3	Geometric Mean of Weight Collected from 44 Distribution Engineers on Distribution Forced Service Interruption .....	5-11
5.2.4	Geometric Mean of Weight Collected from 44 Distribution Engineers on Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-12
5.3	Results of Data Collection on Evaluation Indices .....	5-16
5.3.1	Comparison of Selected Evaluation Index Values among Transmission Regional Offices.....	5-17
5.3.2	Comparison of Selected Evaluation Index Values among Distribution District Offices for Forced Service Interruption .....	5-22
5.3.3	Comparison of Selected Evaluation Index Values among Distribution District Offices for Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-26
5.4	SAIDI Targets .....	5-34
5.4.1	Comparison of SAIDI Targets and Records in Base Year among Transmission Regional Offices.....	5-34
5.4.2	Comparison of SAIDI Targets and Records in Base Year among Distribution District Districts .....	5-36
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		6-1
6.1	Conclusions.....	6-1
6.2	Limitations and Future Research Directions .....	6-2
6.2.1	Delphi Process .....	6-2
6.2.2	Disparate Degree of Consensus .....	6-2
6.2.3	Future Research Directions.....	6-3
REFERENCES .....		R-1
APPENDIX Questionnaire for Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....		A-1
BIOGRAPHIC NOTE .....		B-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Area for categories of capacity versus period hours.....	1-1
Fig. 1.2	Reliability reporting requirements in the United States as of 2001 .....	1-2
Fig. 1.3	Percentage of utilities use of an index of U.S.A.....	1-8
Fig. 1.4	Reliability cost and reliability worth curves.....	1-10
Fig. 2.1	The causes of forced outage on transmission line in the year of 2002 of Taipower .....	2-2
Fig. 2.2	The causes of forced outage on substation of transmission system in the year of 2002 of Taipower .....	2-3
Fig. 2.3	The causes of forced outage on distribution system, in the year of 2002 of Taipower.....	2-3
Fig. 2.4	Flowchart of overall procedure in setting the regional SAIDI target levels for the service regions of a utility.....	2-4
Fig. 2.5	The hierarchy structure model formulated according to AHP (three layers as an example) .....	2-6
Fig. 2.6	Flow chart of weights calculation for each single layer of Fig.2.5 by AHP .....	2-13
Fig. 2.7	One of survey results among the 90 transmission engineers of Taipower surveyed for acquisition of weights for the 4 disparity factors of load transfer inability of average number of customer affected per system interruption .....	2-21
Fig. 2.8	The same question of Fig. 2.7, but in different format for asking the level of importance for each factor, and the priority order according to their importance.....	2-23
Fig. 2.9	Regional SAIDI targets derived from Eq. (2-23) for a planned (the $n^{\text{th}}$ ) year versus the present-year or base year SAIDI records where 2 regions and 20% reduction on the corporate SAIDI are assumed.....	2-23
Fig. 3.1	The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of transmission forced service interruption .....	3-4
Fig. 3.2	The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of transmission forced service	

interruption .....	3-5
Fig. 3.3 The 4-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of transmission forced service interruption .....	3-5
Fig. 4.1 The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of distribution forced service interruption .....	4-3
Fig. 4.2 The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of distribution forced service interruption .....	4-3
Fig. 4.3 The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of distribution forced service interruption .....	4-4
Fig. 4.4 The 4-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of distribution scheduled service interruption .....	4-7
Fig. 4.5 The 4-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of distribution scheduled service interruption .....	4-7
Fig. 4.6 The 3-layer model for evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of distribution scheduled service interruption .....	4-8
Fig. 4.7 The contents of major category work of Fig. 4.4 and Fig. 4.5 .....	4-8
Fig. 5.1 Weights collected for the disparity factors to measure their impact on the times of forced transmission service interruptions.....	5-3
Fig. 5.2 Weights collected for the geographical conditions to measure their impact on the times of forced transmission service interruption.....	5-4
Fig. 5.3 Weights collected for the disparity factors to measure their impact on the duration of forced transmission service interruption.....	5-4
Fig. 5.4 Weights collected for: (a) overhead/underground, (b) geographical, both conditions to measure their impact on the duration of forced transmission service interruption.....	5-5
Fig. 5.5 Weights collected for the disparity factors to measure their impact on the number of customers affected of forced transmission service interruption .....	5-6
Fig. 5.6 Weights collected for the causes of load transfer inability to measure their impact on the number of customers affected of forced transmission service interruption.....	5-6

- Fig. 5.7 Weights collected for the geographical conditions: (a) to measure load transfer inability impact, (b) to measure customer density impact, both on the number of customers affected of forced transmission service interruption .....5-7
- Fig. 5.8 Weights collected from transmission line engineers differ from those collected from substation engineers on : (a) the disparity factors times of service interruption, and (b) the disparate causes for load transfer inability on number of customers affected .....5-9



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Performance Indices Defined in IEEE Std. 762-2006 .....	1-3
Table 1.2	Distribution Reliability Indices for Sustained Interruptions .....	1-5
Table 1.3	Distribution Reliability Indices for Load based Interruptions .....	1-6
Table 1.4	Distribution Reliability Indices for Momentary Interruptions .....	1-6
Table 1.5	Metrics Adopted by Regulatory Agencies.....	1-8
Table 1.6	The Difference on Definition of a Sustained Interruption .....	1-10
Table 2.1	SAIDI Records caused by Forced and Scheduled Outages of Taipower	2-2
Table 2.2	SAIFI Records caused by Forced and Scheduled Outages of Taipower...	2-2
Table 2.3	Suggested Ratio Scale .....	2-8
Table 2.4	Random Index with the Order of Matrix.....	2-12
Table 3.1	Evaluation Index Designed to Measure Regional Status on Disparity Factors of SAIDI for Transmission Forced Service Interruption .....	3-11
Table 4.1	Evaluation Index Designed to Measure Regional Status on Disparity Factors of SAIDI for Distribution Forced and Scheduled Service Interruption.....	4-12
Table 5.1	Summary of Contents of Evaluation Results in Chapter 5 .....	5-2
Table 5.2	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of Transmission Forced Service Interruption .....	5-10
Table 5.3	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of Transmission Forced Service Interruption.....	5-10
Table 5.4	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 4-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of Transmission Forced Service Interruption .....	5-11
Table 5.5	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of distribution Forced Service Interruption .....	5-13
Table 5.6	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of distribution Forced Service Interruption .....	5-13

Table 5.7	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of Distribution Forced Service Interruption.....	5-13
Table 5.8	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 4-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{frq}}$ of Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-14
Table 5.9	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 4-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{drt}}$ of Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-15
Table 5.10	The Relative Weight of Disparity Factors in 3-Layer Model for Evaluating $V_{j, \text{ctm}}$ of Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-15
Table 5.11	Normalization of Power Service Interruption Times Caused by Thunderbolt, Salt or Fog of Transmission System and Overhead Circuit Proportion in Base Year of the Field Test .....	5-17
Table 5.12	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Times of Transmission Forced Service Interruption per Year ..	5-19
Table 5.13	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Duration per Transmission Forced Service Interruption.....	5-20
Table 5.14	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors affecting Average Number of Customers Affected per Transmission Forced Service Interruption.....	5-21
Table 5.15	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Times of Distribution Forced Service Interruption per Year ....	5-23
Table 5.16	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Duration per Distribution Forced Service Interruption.....	5-24
Table 5.17	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Number of Customer Affected per Distribution Forced Service Interruption.....	5-25
Table 5.18	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Times of Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption per Year .....	5-27
Table 5.19	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Duration per Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-28
Table 5.20	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Affecting Average Number of Customer Affected per Distribution Scheduled Service Interruption.....	5-29
Table 5.21	Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Included in Major Category Work.....	5-30



Table 5.22 Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Included in Multi-Year Distribution System Expansion Projects .....	5-31
Table 5.23 Collected Evaluation Index Values for Disparity Factors Included in Miscellany Work .....	5-33
Table 5.24 Rational Distribution of Corporate SAIDI Target to 6 Transmission Regions as Compared to the SAIDI Records in Year 2003 .....	5-36
Table 5.25 Rational Distribution of Corporate SAIDI Target to 22 Distribution Districts for Forced Service Interruption as Compared to the SAIDI Record in Year 2003 .....	5-39
Table 5.26 Rational Distribution of Corporate SAIDI Target to 22 Distribution Districts for Scheduled Service Interruption as Compared to the SAIDI Record in Year 2003 .....	5-40

